



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,662	03/06/2002	Masashi Yano	16869N -045500US	3839
20350	7590 09/19/2005		EXAMINER	
	ID AND TOWNSEND	ORTIZ, BELIX M		
TWO EMBA EIGHTH FL	ARCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2164	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/092,662	YANO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Belix M. Ortiz	2164	

	Bellx IVI. OTTIZ	2104	_				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	-	- C 1 i i					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered I	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		4h - i				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	• =	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	vided below of appended.						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-18 and 21.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an	ut before or on the date of filing a l d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	a Nation of Annual but prior to th	a data of filing a briaf	will not be				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:		α					
		C. Love CHARLES RONI	9				
		CHARLES RON	ES				
		PRIMARY EXAMI	NER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicants' arguments that "Fisher et al., do not disclose the constituent data block of a file on different storage devices based on predetermined conditions", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Fisher et al. teaches "The apparatus comprises at least one controller, coupled to the host computer and the storage system, that maps the repository of logical objects from the application space to the physical space to create mapping information identifying which units of storage in the physical space store the repository of logical objects, the at least one controller making the mapping information visible to the application space on the host computer", see column 3, lines 47-54).